This article was downloaded by: On: *25 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274

Time and Temperature Dependence of Macro-ion-Macro-ester Equilibrium in the Cationic Polymerization of Tetrahydrofuran by HOSO2F

M. U. Mahmud^{ab}; G. Wegner^{ac}; W. Kern^a; J. B. Lando^{ad}; Y. Osada^e

^a Institut für Organische Chemie Johannes Gutenberg- Universität, Mainz, Germany ^b Department of Chemistry Polymer Science Program, University of Lowell, Lowell, Massachusetts, U. S. A. ^e Institut für Makromolekulare Chemie, Albert-Ludwigs-Universitat, Freiburg, Germany ^d Department of Macromolecular Science, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, U. S. A. ^e Department of Chemistry, Ibaraki University, Japan

To cite this Article Mahmud, M. U., Wegner, G., Kern, W., Lando, J. B. and Osada, Y.(1977) 'Time and Temperature Dependence of Macro-ion-Macro-ester Equilibrium in the Cationic Polymerization of Tetrahydrofuran by HOSO2F', Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A, 11: 12, 2233 – 2245

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00222337708061361 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222337708061361

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Time and Temperature Dependence of Macro-ion—Macro-ester Equilibrium in the Cationic Polymerization of Tetrahydrofuran by HOSO₂F*

M. U. MAHMUD, † G. WEGNER, ‡ W. KERN, and J. B. LANDO**

Institut für Organische Chemie Johannes Gutenberg-Universität D-65 Mainz, Germany

and

Y. OSADA

Department of Chemistry Ibaraki University Japan

ABSTRACT

Reactivity and equilibrium of two active species-tertiary oxonium ion (TOI) and superacid ester (SAE)-in the system of cationic polymerization of tetrahydrofuran (THF) initiated

[†]Present address: Department of Chemistry, Polymer Science Program, University of Lowell, Lowell, Massachusetts 01854, U. S. A.

[‡]Present address: Institut für Makromolekulare Chemie, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, D-78 Freiburg, Germany.

** Present address: Department of Macromolecular Science, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, U. S. A.

2233

^{*}Dedicated to Prof. C. H. Bamford on the happy occasion of his 65th birthday.

Copyright © 1978 by Marcel Dekker, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Neither this work nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

by fluorosulfonic acid (FSO₃H) in dichloromethane has been studied. In the given system, it was found that TOI is mainly responsible for the polymerization at the initial stage; however, the concentration of TOI decreases rapidly with the progress of polymerization and finally becomes almost untraceable. On the other hand, [SAE] monotonically increases as the polymerization proceeds. The stability of TOI appears less than that of SAE under the given reaction conditions. Results demonstrate that both the progress of polymerization and increase in temperature favor the formation of SAE.

INTRODUCTION

The polymerization of cyclic ethers, especially, that of tetrahydrofuran (THF) has received considerable attention recently [1-3]. The polymerization kinetics of THF initiated by superacid and their ester derivatives have been studied on the basis of direct measurement of the propagating species by proton [4-8], fluorine [9-10], and carbon [11] NMR spectroscopy. In these systems, the existence of an equilibrium between the active species, macro-ion and macro-ester, has been proposed [6, 7]. Both of the active species can be directly observed in high resolution proton NMR spectra. Considering the presence of such an equilibrium [Eqs. (1)-(6)],

Initiation:

$$FSO_{3}H + 0 \longrightarrow H^{+}O \longrightarrow K_{i}^{+}HO_{-}(CH_{2})_{4}^{-}OSO_{2}F$$

$$OSO_{2}F^{-} \qquad (1)$$

$$\overset{+}{\overset{+}}_{0} \overset{+}{\overset{+}}_{0} \overset{+}{\overset{+}}_{i(i)} \overset{+}{\overset{+}}_{i(i$$

$$HO-(CH_2)_4^{-}OSO_2F + O \rightarrow HO-(CH_2)_8^{-}OSO_2F$$
 (3)

Propagation:





(TOI) (SAE) (6)

it has been assumed that the rate constant of propagation due to macroion $k_{p(i)}$ is much higher than that of macro-ester $k_{p(e)}$ [6-9]. It has also been reported [8, 9] that the propagation in media such as CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃, or benzene, as well as in polar solvents such as CH₂NO₂ and C₆H₅NO₂, is predominantly promoted by the macro-ion [9]. However, quite recently Saegusa et al. [10] suggested that in the polymerization of THF in CCl₄ initiated by EtOSO₂CF₃ the rate constant of propagation due to macro-ester is much higher than assumed and is comparable to $k_{p(i)}$. Thus, it has not been completely clarified which form of the active species is responsible for the chain propagation in the medium of CH₂Cl₂.

This paper is concerned about the reactivity and equilibrium of two active species-tertiary oxonium ion (TOI) and superacid ester (SAE). It has been found that the stability of these active species is closely related not only to the solvent as mentioned earlier [9] but also to the conversion and temperature.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Tetrahydrofuran (THF), Merck analytical grade, was dried on potassium at its boiling temperature by refluxing for a period of 2 days in nitrogen atmosphere. After the removal of one-third by distillation, the middle fraction was collected from the deep bluecolored solution of THF in potassium and benzophenone.

Dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂), Merck analytical grade, containing about 0.3% ethanol was treated with calcium chloride and allowed to stand overnight before filtration and subsequent purification by fractional distillation.

Fluorosulfonic acid (FSO₃H), by Fluka pure grade, was used without further purification.

The Meerwein salt (triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate) was prepared by the interaction of epichlorohydrin and boron trifluoroetherate [12].

The superacid ester (propyl fluorosulfonate) was prepared by the simple condensation reaction of propyl alcohol and fluorosulfonic acid [13].

Polymerization

Polymerization was carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in a three-necked flask. The reactants were added in the order: solvent, initiator, and monomer. The solution was stirred continuously with the aid of a magnetic stirrer and kept cool with a Dry Ice-acetone bath. The temperature of the reaction mixture was never allowed to rise above -20° C during the addition of the reactants.

NMR Spectroscopy

After mixing the reactants, about 1.0 ml of the polymerization mixture was transferred into an NMR tube and placed immediately into the spectrometer and a scan was taken at 10-15 min intervals. Later scans were taken at longer intervals. The samples were preserved at the specified temperature inside constant temperature chambers throughout the period of the study of the reaction. Concentrations of TOI, SAE, monomer, and polymer were determined by tracing the respective peaks on a uniformly thick paper with a constant moisture content, followed by cutting and weighing the paper by an analytical balance. The NMR spectra were recorded with Varian A-60, (60 MHz) or Brucker 60, (60 MHz) instruments.



FIG. 1. ¹H-NMR spectra of (a) the polymerization mixture of THF in dichloromethane after 20 hr at 0°C, $[FSO_3H] = 1.10$ mole/liter, [THF] = 7.7 mole/liter; triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (Meerwein salt) in dichloromethane; (c) the reaction mixture of propanol and fluorosulfonic acid in dichloromethane.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a shows an example of a ¹H-NMR spectrum of the system which was taken 20 hr after mixing of the reactants at 0°C. As well established, the signals A and B are due to α - and β -methylene protons of tetrahydrofuran monomer, signals C and D are due to α and β -methylene protons of the polymer. It is suggested [6, 7] that peak E is due to α -methylene protons of the propagating tertiary oxonium species (TOI). Signal H, which developed slowly, is assigned [6, 7] to the α -methylene protons of the superacid ester of the polymer chain (SAE). Signals, especially that of TOI and SAE, were also confirmed by using Meerwein salt [12] (triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate, (C₂H₅)₈O'BF₄) and superacid ester [13] (propyl fluorosulfonate, CH₈-CH₂-CH₂-OSO₂F) as model compounds for TOI and SAE, respectively. NMR spectra of these compounds are also presented in Fig. 1.

Assignments of these peaks are in agreement with those proposed earlier by other workers. However, it should be noted that the chemical shift of each peak changes continuously with time and concentration of the reactants. Thus, it is not possible to generalize the chemical shift of individual species unless concentration of the reactants and time period of reaction is mentioned. Table 1 shows some changes of chemical shift of various species with the progress of the reaction. The reason for this change in observed chemical shift is not clear but may be attributed to the change of polarity of the reaction medium.

This kind of movement of chemical shift does not, however, complicate the identification and subsequent computation, since the movement of the peaks generally occurs in the up-field direction and none of the peaks overlap.

The time-conversion curves for different initiator concentrations are given in Fig. 2. It can be seen that most of the polymerization occurred in the beginning, and equilibrium was eventually approached. The concentration of different active species as computed from the NMR-spectra is plotted as a function of time in Fig. 3. The concentration of TOI (Fig. 3a) increases in the early period of the reaction, decreasing later with the progress of the polymerization and finally becoming untraceable in the NMR spectra. On the other hand, the rate of formation of SAE, as shown in Fig. 3b, is slow in the beginning, increases gradually, and reaches a plateau at about 200 hr. This situation can be seen more clearly at higher temperature (Fig. 4). For example, at 38°C, TOI attains maximum growth within 20 min, then disappears rapidly, while SAE increases almost linearly until reaching equilibrium. From Figs. 3 and 4, it appears that increasing temperature is unfavorable for TOI in the reaction mixture.

Figure 5 presents the time dependence of the molar fraction of TOI. It is apparent that the molar ratio of TOI and SAE at 0° C reached a constant value of 48:52 after a short period of reaction. The presence of a similar equilibrium was also observed in CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃, CCl₄, and in other solvents initiated by EtOSO₂CF₃ at 0° C [8-10].

In the early period of the reaction, when other competitive and consecutive reactions are neglected, the rate equation for the initiation is given by Eq. (7):

$$-d[I]/dt = k_{i}[I][M]$$
(7)

where I and M denote FSO₃H and THF, respectively and k_i is the rate constant of initiation and $k_i = k_{i(i)} + k_{i(e)}$.

Downloaded At: 08:45 25 January 2011

TABLE 1. Observed Chemical Shift of Different Species in the THF-FSO₃H Polymerization Mixture in Dichloromethane^a

	Timo	[FSO ₃ H]	[CH2Cl2]	1		Che	mical shi	ft ô (ppm			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(hr + min)	liter) ^b	liter)	A	В	υ	9	ы	Н	- -	с
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 + 55	1.78	4.67	4,13	2.07	3.57	1.68	5,10	4.42	5.74	15.80
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20 + 25	1.78	4.67	3.94	1.98	3.49	1.63	4.93	4.28	5.57	14.03
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	72 + 10	1.78	4.67	3.86	1.90	3.45	1.62	4.87	4.29	5.46	13.45
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 + 50	1.14	5.24	4.03	2.02	3.58	1,68	5,12	4.32	5.73	15.97
72 + 05 1.14 5.24 3.80 1.89 3.44 1.60 4.89 4.30 5.49 13.30 $2 + 35$ 0.89 5.47 4.02 2.00 3.58 1.67 5.12 4.30 5.73 15.83 $20 + 40$ 0.89 5.47 4.02 2.00 3.56 1.67 5.12 4.30 5.73 15.83 $72 + 20$ 0.89 5.47 3.83 1.92 3.45 1.67 5.12 4.30 5.73 15.83 $72 + 20$ 0.89 5.47 3.80 1.89 3.45 1.67 5.20 4.29 5.55 13.23 $2 + 30$ 0.622 5.72 3.93 1.93 3.45 1.67 5.20 4.29 5.53 13.89 $2 + 35$ 0.622 5.72 3.89 1.93 3.45 1.62 4.97 4.29 5.52 13.83 $2 + 35$ 0.622 5.72 1.93 5.73 1.67 5.13 $1.$	20 + 25	1.14	5.24	3.85	1.90	3.43	1,61	4.92	4.27	5.52	13.94
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	72 + 05	1.14	5.24	3.80	1.89	3.44	1.60	4,89	4.30	5.49	13.30
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2+35	0.89	5.47	4.02	2.00	3.58	1.67	5.12	4.30	5.73	15.83
72 + 20 0.89 5.47 3.80 1.89 3.45 1.62 4.89 4.32 5.50 13.23 $2 + 30$ 0.62 5.72 3.95 1.95 3.57 1.67 5.20 4.29 5.73 15.79 $20 + 15$ 0.62 5.72 3.95 1.95 3.45 1.67 5.20 4.29 5.53 13.83 $71 + 55$ 0.62 5.72 3.79 1.88 3.44 1.62 4.97 4.29 5.53 13.83 $2 + 35$ 0.33 5.98 3.89 1.93 3.57 1.68 5.22 4.15 5.73 15.67 $2 + 35$ 0.33 5.98 3.84 1.85 3.43 1.62 4.97 4.28 5.52 13.79 $2 + 10$ 0.33 5.98 3.84 1.88 3.55 1.65 5.08 4.44 5.64 13.40 $2 + 30$ 0.17 6.14 3.87 1.92 3.56 1.67 5.11 <	20 + 40	0.89	5.47	3.83	1.92	3.45	1.63	4.93	4.29	5.53	13.89
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	72 + 20	0.89	5.47	3.80	1.89	3.45	1.62	4.89	4.32	5.50	13.23
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 + 30	0.62	5.72	3.95	1.95	3.57	1.67	5.20	4.29	5.73	15.79
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20 + 15	0.62	5.72	3.83	1.89	3.45	1.62	4.97	4,29	5.53	13.83
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	71 + 55	0.62	5.72	3.79	1.88	3.44	1.62	4.93	4.33	5.52	13.20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2+35	0.33	5.98	3.89	1.93	3.57	1.68	5.22	4,15	5.73	15.67
71 + 50 0.33 5.98 3.84 1.88 3.52 1.65 5.08 4.44 5.64 13.40 2 + 30 0.17 6.14 3.87 1.92 3.56 1.67 5.11 5.73 14.40 2 + 30 0.17 6.14 3.87 1.92 3.56 1.67 5.11 5.73 14.40 20 + 15 0.17 6.14 3.73 1.85 3.43 1.62 4.91 4.05 5.51 13.67 72 + 00 0.17 6.14 3.79 1.88 3.49 1.64 5.04 4.39 5.59 13.09	20 + 10	0.33	5.98	3.75	1.85	3.43	1.62	4.97	4.28	5.52	13.79
2 + 30 0.17 6.14 3.87 1.92 3.56 1.67 5.11 5.73 14.40 20 + 15 0.17 6.14 3.73 1.85 3.43 1.62 4.91 4.05 5.51 13.67 72 + 00 0.17 6.14 3.79 1.88 3.49 1.64 5.04 4.39 5.59 13.09	71 + 50	0.33	5.98	3.84	1.88	3.52	1.65	5.08	4.44	5.64	13.40
20 + 15 0.17 6.14 3.73 1.85 3.43 1.62 4.91 4.05 5.51 13.67 72 + 00 0.17 6.14 3.79 1.88 3.49 1.64 5.04 4.39 5.59 13.09	2 + 30	0.17	6.14	3.87	1.92	3.56	1.67	5.11		5.73	14.40
72 + 00 0.17 6.14 3.79 1.88 3.49 1.64 5.04 4.39 5.59 13.09	20 + 15	0.17	6.14	3.73	1.85	3.43	1.62	4.91	4.05	5.51	13.67
	72 + 00	0.17	6.14	3.79	1.88	3.49	1.64	5.04	4.39	5.59	13.09

 a THF = 7.31 mole/liter; internal standard TMS. ^bConcentration of FSO₃H mentioned in the table is the initial concentration.

MACRO-ION-MACRO-ESTER EQUILIBRIUM

2239



FIG. 2. Time-conversion curves of the polymerization of THF at 0°C at various [FSO₃H]: (\bullet) 1.80; (\circ) 1.10; (\Box) 0.80; (\blacktriangle) 0.54; (\bigtriangledown) 0.27; (\bullet) 0.14 mole/liter; [THF] = 7.7 mole/liter.

Integration of Eq. (7) yields

$$\ln ([I]_0 / [I]_t) = k_i \int_0^t [M] dt$$
 (8)

where $[I]_0$ and $[I]_t$ are the concentrations of the acid initially and at any time t, respectively. A plot of ln $([I]_0/[I]_t)$ against $\int_0^t [M] dt$ gives a straight line, and k_i was calculated from the slope $k_i = 0.57 \times 10^{-5}$ liter/mole-sec.

If we assume that the magnitude of $k_{p(e)}$ is much smaller than $k_{p(i)}$ as suggested by other authors, $k_{p(i)}$ can be evaluated according to Eqs. (9) and (10):

$$-d[M]/dt = k_{p(i)}[TOI]([M]_t - [M]_e)$$
(9)

$$\ln \{ ([M]_0 - [M]_e) / ([M]_t - [M]_e) \} = k_{p(i)} \int_0^t [TOI] dt$$
(10)



FIG. 3. Dependence of (a) [TOI] and (b) [SAE] on time at various concentrations of initiator. [Monomer] = 7.7 mole/liter, temperature: 0° C. Symbols are same as in Fig. 2.

A plot of the integrated value of [M] to that of [TOI] gives $k_{p(i)}$. A weighted average of the rate constant of propagation $k_{p(obs)}$ which is expressed by the Eq. was also calculated.

$$k_{p(obs)} = k_{p(i)} \mathbf{X}_{i} + k_{p(e)} \mathbf{X}_{e}$$
⁽¹¹⁾

where X_i and X_p are the molar fractions of TOI and SAE, respectively.



FIG. 4. Dependence of (\cdots) [TOI], (--) [SAE], and (--) conversion on time at 25°C and 38°C. [FSO₃H] = 1.10 mole/liter; [THF] = 7.7 mole/liter.

The values of $k_{p(obs)}$ and $k_{p(i)}$ thus obtained are 1.6×10^{-3} and 2.3×10^{-3} liter/mole-sec, respectively. Although a direct comparison cannot be made because of the possible presence of chain transfer in our system, our figures for the rate constants are almost the same as found with systems initiated by ester derivatives of the superacid [9, 10]. Results are summarized in Table 2.

TABLE 2. Rate Constants of Initiation (k_i) , Observed Propagation $k_{p(obs)}$, and Propagation by TOI $k_{p(i)}$ of the Polymerization of THF in Dichloromethane Solution at 0°C

Initiator	$k_{(i)} \times 10^5$ (liter/ mole-sec)	$k_{p(obs)} \times 10^{3}$ (liter/ mole-sec)	$rac{k_{p(i)} imes 10^{3}}{(1iter/mole-sec)}$	Reference
HOSO₂F	0.57	1.6	2.3	Present work
MeOSO₂F	3.90	1.7		[10]
EtOSO₂F	0.76	1.4		[10]
EtOSO ₂ CF ₃	1.20	1.7	2.0	[9]



FIG. 5. Time dependence of molar fraction of TOI. Symbols are same as in Fig. 2.

It should be noted that the equilibrium in Eq. (6) is attained only after a definite period of reaction and at a low polymerization temperature $(0^{\circ}C)$, as shown in Fig. 5. At higher temperatures, $(25^{\circ}C)$ and 38°C) no equilibrium is attained during the polymerization. In these cases the equilibrium between TOI and SAE in Eq. (6) is greatly shifted in the direction of TOI at the beginning of polymerization. These results suggest that polymerization in this region proceeds predominantly through TOI. A deviation from the equilibrium can be seen even in the polymerization at 0°C, especially in the early stages at lower concentrations of the initiator. As shown in Fig. 6, in cases of lower initiator concentration SAE could not be observed for an initial period of polymerization. It was found that ^kp(obs) calculated from the kinetic run where SAE is zero or very low agrees with values calculated after the formation of SAE. This findings supports the fact that $k_{p(e)}$ is indeed much smaller than $k_{p(i)}$, as assumed before. It is, therefore, quite reasonable that the addition of monomer after the attainment of the equilibrium monomer concentrations, [M], did not give a rapid increase of molecular weight as indicated by Pruckmayr and Wu [4]. By that time, active species have been transformed to SAE, the reactivity of which is much less in comparison to that of TOI. Thus, it is possible under present reaction conditions that the contribution of SAE in the



FIG. 6. Concentration of SAE as a function of time in the initial stage of the reaction. $[THF] = 7.7 \text{ mole/liter}; 0^{\circ}C$. Symbols and $[FSO_{3}H]$ are same as in Fig. 2.

polymerization can be ignored not only because of its small value of $k_{p(e)}$ but also due to low concentration, at least, in the initial stages of polymerization (i.e., $X_e \simeq 0$). Thus $k_{p(obs)}$ in this region of polymerization becomes equal to $k_{p(i)}$.

The reason for the transformation of TOI to SAE at higher conversion is not yet clear. However, it could be related to the decrease of dielectric constant of the medium with the progress of the polymerization, since SAE should be more stable in a medium of low dielectric constant than TOI.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Acknowledgment is made to the Deutschen Sonderforschungsbereich (SFB) for the support of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. C. Frisch and S. L. Reegen, Eds., <u>Ring Opening Polymeriza-</u> tion, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969.
- [2] A. Ledwith and C. Fitzsimmonds, in <u>Polymer Chemistry of</u> <u>Synthetic Elastomers, Part I, J. P. Kennedy and E. G. M.</u> <u>Törnqvist, Eds., Wiley, New York, 1968, 377.</u>

- [3] P. Dreyfuss and M. P. Dreyfuss, <u>Advan. Polym. Sci.</u>, <u>4</u>, 528 (1967).
- [4] G. Pruckmayr and T. K. Wu, Macromolecules, 6, 33 (1973).
- [5] K. Matyjaszewski, P. Kubisa, and S. Penczek, paper presented at International Symposium on Cationic Polymerization, Rouen, September 1973, paper 25.
- S. Kobayashi, H. Danda, and T. Saegusa, <u>Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan</u>, 46, 3214 (1973).
- [7] K. Matyjaszewski, P. Kubisa and S. Penczek, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 12, 1333 (1974).
- [8] K. Matyjaszewski and S. Penczek, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed., 12, 1905 (1974).
- [9] S. Kobayashi, H. Danda, and T. Saegusa, <u>Macromolecules</u>, 7, 415 (1974).
- [10] S. Kobayashi, K. Morikawa, and T. Saegusa, <u>Macromolecules</u>, 8, 387 (1975).
- [11] G. Pruckmayr and T. K. Wu, Macromolecules, 8, 955 (1975).
- [12] H. Meerwein, J. Prakt. Chem., 154, 83 (1939).
- [13] J. Meyer and G. Schramm, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 206, 24 (1932).

Accepted by editor August 5, 1977 Received for publication September 12, 1977